Commission, at a minimum, clarify that "a LEC is not required to unbrand its own operator services merely because it is not technically feasible to rebrand operator services for another carrier."46

From NYNEX's description of the Commission's actions, it appears that NYNEX believes that it cannot brand any of its DA/OS services or traffic if it is incapable, technically, of branding all of the DA/OS services of others. Should NYNEX be correct in its analysis of the Commission's requirements in this area, there would be a First Amendment violation because the Commission cannot constitutionally mandate that lawful speech not occur simply because a LEC is incapable of speaking the "preferred" message of the Commission. It is unlawful for the Commission to impose on LECs an obligation to remain silent unless they can speak on behalf of their competitors. For First Amendment reasons, U S WEST urges the Commission to reconsider its branding requirements in their totality.

IV. NETWORK DISCLOSURE

As a general matter, the new rules regarding network disclosure are reasonable and balanced. U S WEST's essential premise is fairly simple: all

⁴⁶ NYNEX actually uses the phrase "operator services" throughout its discussion of this matter. U S WEST believes that NYNEX's arguments are equally applicable to DA branding, and the Commission should reconsider its position generally with respect to both types of services.

⁴⁷ U S WEST does not share this interpretation. We believe that U S WEST is free to brand <u>all</u> of its traffic, where the traffic is identifiable to U S WEST (such as on dedicated trunk groups), even though we are incapable of branding <u>all</u> competitors' traffic. For example, just as U S WEST can brand its own DA/OS on dedicated trunks, it can brand competing providers' traffic on such trunks. We cannot brand competing providers' traffic where the traffic is commingled. This is the only instance, however, where U S WEST would remove its brand.

carriers need to share interconnection information with each other in a principled manner or competition simply cannot function. Several petitioners address network disclosure issues in a manner which merits brief treatment.

AirTouch, NYNEX and SBC address a critical issue from two different sides. AirTouch contends that the Commission should rule that all interconnecting carriers, not just carriers who are "competing providers" of telecommunications services, should be entitled to receive network disclosure information from incumbent LECs. SBC and NYNEX, on the other hand, request reconsideration of the Commission's ruling that the network disclosure requirements apply only to incumbent LECs. In all of these cases, the point made is very simple -- it is impossible for one carrier to connect to the network of another without having access to the basic interface information required by the Second Report and Order (or some variant thereof).

U S WEST supports all of these petitions. An interconnecting carrier needs interface information whether the interconnecting carrier is an incumbent LEC, a competitive LEC, a CMRS provider or an interexchange carrier. The purpose of network disclosure has nothing to do with the competitive issues which seem to drive so much of the Commission's thinking in this and other current dockets -- instead, network disclosure is simply based on a recognition that networks cannot interface in a well of ignorance. Certainly the Commission is correct in its desire

⁴⁸ AirTouch at 4-7.

⁴⁹ SBC at 15-16; NYNEX at 8-9.

not to burden small carriers with rules which are not necessary to the advancement of competition or the protection of the public -- but the requirement that all carriers disclose their interfaces prior to offering service utilizing those interfaces is simply a regulatory recognition of the carrier's duty to serve on an indiscriminate basis.

We agree with NYNEX that the existing "all carrier" rule is not sufficient to take up the slack if the Commission declines to apply its network disclosure rules to other than incumbent LECs. ⁵⁰ Clear rules applicable to the entire industry are superior to the vague "all carrier" standard. If the Commission determines that the existing rules which apply to incumbent LECs are too onerous to be applied to non-incumbent LECs, the Commission could fashion less stringent rules for these carriers. However, we submit that, if the burden of the existing rules is really too heavy for non-incumbent LECs such as AT&T to bear, it probably means that the rules themselves are too strict and ought to be modified.

In this regard, efforts to streamline the network disclosure process are an important aspect of developing a pro-competition regulatory structure. A network disclosure process which impeded the deployment of new technology would clearly be counterproductive. Accordingly, the shorter notice permitted by the new rules is very much in the public interest. However, as SBC points out, even these shorter notice provisions could permit a carrier intent on obstruction to delay implementation of a new interface by a number of months.⁵¹ SBC suggests further

⁵⁰ NYNEX at 8-9.

⁵¹ SBC at 16-18.

streamlining of the shorter notice provisions of the rules, including establishment of a presumption that a disclosure made on short notice is reasonable. US WEST supports this concept. In this regard, we also note that US WESTs planned use of the Internet for network disclosure holds the potential for further streamlining of this process.

In this regard, U S WEST recently filed several disclosure announcements using the newly adopted short term notification process. The process seems to have worked well. However, the extant list of interconnectors (to whom U S WEST now sends both a paper copy of the disclosure document and a diskette) now exceeds 800 addressees. Thus the manual notification process is cumbersome and inefficient. On the other hand, Internet notification by way of the current U S WEST WEB site makes the information available to all entities desiring this information, not just the current U S WEST list of addressees. We submit that Internet notification of network changes is superior to normal notification and should be available to carriers as an alternative to the existing process.

Respectfully submitted,

U S WEST. INC.

By:

Robert B. McKenna Kathryn Marie Krause

Jeffrey S. Bork

1020 19th Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20036

(303) 672-2859

Its Attorneys

November 20, 1996

Of Counsel,

Dan L. Poole

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Kelseau Powe, Jr., do hereby certify that on this 20th day of November,

1996, I have caused a copy of the foregoing U S WEST RESPONSE TO THE

RECONSIDERATION PETITIONS CONCERNING THE SECOND REPORT

AND ORDER to be served via first-class United States Mail, postage prepaid, upon the persons listed on the attached service list.

Kelseau Powe, Jr.

*Via Hand-Delivery

(CC9698J.COS/BM/lh)

*James H. Quello Federal Communications Commission Room 802 1919 M Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20554

*Susan P. Ness Federal Communications Commission Room 832 1919 M Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20554

*Lauren J. Belvin Federal Communications Commission Room 802 1919 M Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20554

*James Casserly Federal Communications Commission Room 832 1919 M Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20554

*Regina M. Keeney Federal Communications Commission Room 500 1919 M Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20554 *Reed E. Hundt Federal Communications Commission Room 814 1919 M Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20554

*Rachelle B. Chong Federal Communications Commission Room 844 1919 M Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20554

*John Nakahata Federal Communications Commission Room 814 1919 M Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20554

*Daniel Gonzalez
Federal Communications Commission
Room 844
1919 M Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20554

*A. Richard Metzger Federal Communications Commission Room 500 1919 M Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20554 *Kathleen Levitz Federal Communications Commission Room 500 1919 M Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20554 *Mary Beth Richards Federal Communications Commission Room 500 1919 M Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20554

*Richard K. Welch Federal Communications Commission Room 544 1919 M Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20554 *Janice Myles Federal Communications Commission Room 544 1919 M Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20554

*Lisa Gelb Federal Communications Commission Room 544 1919 M Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20554 *Geraldine Matise Federal Communications Commission Room 235 2000 M Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20036

*Joseph Farrell Federal Communications Commission Room 518 1919 M Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20554 *Steve Weingarten
Federal Communications Commission
Room 518
1919 M Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20554

*William E. Kennard Federal Communications Commission Room 614 1919 M Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20554 *Robert Pepper Federal Communications Commission Room 822 1919 M Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20554 *Michele Farquhar Federal Communications Commission Room 5002 2025 M Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20554 *Gloria Shambley
Federal Communications Commission
Room 235
2000 M Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20036

*International Transcription Services, Inc. Suite 140 2100 M Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20037 Russell D. Lukas

Lukas, McGowan, Nace & Gutierrez, Chtd.
Suite 1200
1111 19th Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20036

Carl W. Northrop

Christine W. Crowe
Paul, Hastings, Janofsky & Walker, LLP
10th Floor
1299 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20004-2400

Mark A. Stachiw AirTouch Paging Suite 800 Three Forest Plaza 12221 Merit Drive Dallas, TX 75251

Thomas P. Hester Kelly R. Welsh John T. Lenahan Ameritech Operating Companies 30 South Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606 Antoinette Cook Bush
Mark C. Del Bianco
Jeffry A. Brueggeman
Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom
1440 New York Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20005

John L. Bartlett Angela N. Watkins Wiley, Rein & Fielding 1776 K Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20006 David J. Gudino GTE Service Corporation HQEO3FO5 POB 152092 Irving, TX 71015-2092

GTE

Durward D. Dupre Mary W. Marks J. Paul Walters, Jr. Southwestern Bell Telephone Company Room 3520 One Bell Center St. Louis, MO 63101

Mark C. Rosenblum Roy E. Hoffinger Clifford K. Williams James H. Bolin, Jr. AT&T Corp. Room 3245I1 295 North Maple Avenue Basking Ridge, NJ 07920

William J. Balcerski Campbell L. Ayling NYNEX Corporation 1111 Westchester Avenue White Plains, NY 10604

Andrew D. Lipman Russell M. Blau Swidler & Berlin, Chartered Suite 300 3000 K Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20007

MES

Maureen O. Helmer Mary E. Burgess Public Service Commission of the State of New York Three Empire State Plaza Albany, NY 12223 James D. Ellis
Robert M. Lynch
David F. Brown
SBC Communications, Inc.
Room 1254
175 East Houston
San Antonio, TX 78205

David W. Carpenter
Peter D. Keisler
David L. Lawson
David M. Levy
Sidley & Austin
One First National Plaza
Chicago, IL 60603

Teresa Marrero Teleport Communications Group, Inc. Suite 300 Two Teleport Drive Staten Island, NY 10311

David N. Porter MFS Communications Company, Inc. Suite 300 3000 K Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20007

Werner K. Hartenberger Laura H. Phillips J. G. Harrington Dow, Lohnes & Albertson, LLC Suite 800 1200 New Hampshire Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20036-6802 AT&T

COX

Maureen A. Scott Frank B. Wilmarth John F. Povilaitis Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission POB 3265 Harrisburg, PA 17105-3265

NRTA

Henry D. Levine WASHINGTON POST
D. E. Boehling
Levine, Blaszak, Block and Boothby
Suite 500
1300 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20036-1703

Margot Smiley Humphrey Koteen & Naftalin Suite 1000 1150 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20036 David Cosson
L. Marie Guillory
National Telephone Cooperative
Association
2626 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20037

Lisa M. Zaina
OPASTCO
Suite 700
21 Dupont Circle, N.W.
Washington, DC 20036

Mark J. Tauber Kecia Boney Mark J. O'Connor Piper & Marbury, LLP 7th Floor 1200 19th Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20036

OMNIPOINT

Mary McDermott
Linda Kent
Charles D. Cosson
Keith Townsend
United States Telephone Association
Suite 600
1401 H Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20005

Donna M. Roberts
Lisa B. Smith
Donald J. Elardo
MCI Telecommunications Corporation
1801 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20006

M. Robert Sutherland Theodore R. Kingsley BellSouth Corporation Suite 1700 1155 Peachtree Street, N.E. Atlanta, GA 30309-3610 Judith St. Ledger-Roty Reed, Smith, Shaw & McClay Suite 1100-East Tower 1301 K Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005-3317 PNI

(CC9698J.BM/lh) Last Update: 11/20/96

PNI

Lee A. Rau Reed, Smith, Shaw & McClay **Suite 1100** 8251 Greensboro Drive McLean, VA 22102